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## ABSTRACT

A 1982-83 study conducted at Brigham Young Uñiversity compared k-l2 rural schools with fewer than 300 students to those with enrollments of 301-900, using information from districts in 45 states. Of the 15,601 American $K-12 / 1-12$ public school systems, 1,414 (9.1\%) were identified as enrolifing 300 students or fewer, and 2,711 (17.4\%) enrolled $301-900$ students. A proportional random sample of 308 districts was selected from the smailer districts; a simple random sample of 508 districts was selected from larger districts. A questionnaire on the rural school district, school superintendent, teachers, programs, and student performance, mailed to school superintendents in both samples, was returned from 244 districts in the fir $\bar{s} \bar{t}$ sample ( $79.2 \overline{\%}$ ) and 398 in the second (78.3\%). Superintendents in both samples reported that their number one challenge was securing adequate school finances, followed by the need to improve curriculum. Superintendents in the smaller districts reported that securing teachers was their third-ranked problem; those from larger districts ranked providing meaningful inservice instruction third. Both samples found difficulty in locating qualified math and science teachers their most significant staff recruitment problem. Both cited lack of motivation/goals/direction as more serious stufent problems than drugs, vandalism, sex, alcoholism, or cheating. Comparative research findings and state data are given in tables. (MH)
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INTRODUCTION

- The need for research among America's smàifrural schools has been clēarly documented by many scholars in education (famblyn, i97i; Sher, 1978; , Carmichāēl, 1980). Nachtigal (1979) has, scatéd that among studies needed arē déscriptive reports of $\mathrm{K}-12$ rural schools with fewer than 300 students and those with enrolments between 300 to $1 ; 000$ stadents. The purpose of this paper is to present research findings from a descriptive study of $k=12$ and 1-12 smallyrural school systems in America which entoli 30 students or less and those which enroli 301 to 900 students (Barker, 1983). The study, conducted at Brigham Young University during the 198z-8 achemic year, was endorsed by the National Rural Education Associátion and included participation from school districts in 45 different states.


## METHODOLOGY

Two separate samplès were identified in this study. k-12/l-12 districts with student bodies of 300 students or less.and those with $301-900$ students. The Education Dipectory, Fali 1980: Local Education Agenciés ; published by the National Center for Éducation Statistics; was used as à réperence from which a hand count was made of àlik-12/l-12 public school districts which énrolled students within the two sample categories. Of the $15 ; 601$ operating pubilic school systems in Amēricā, 1,414 (9.1 percent) were identified as
either $K-12$ or $1-1 z$, systems enrołting 300 students or less and 2,711 (17.4 percent) wers $K-1 \supseteq$ or $1-12$ systems with $301-9 \theta 0$ students each.

 states were included in this samples Fourteen states did not have operatigg K-i2/l-12 districts with fewer than 30 students and these were not inciudéd (Alabama; Connecticut; Delaware; Floridu; Hrwaii, Lóisíana; Maryañ,
 Pennsylvania, and West Virginia): The 308 districts selected for this sample represented 21.8 percent of the study population.

For the 2,711 districts enroling $301-900$ students; a simple random sample of 508 districts was selected. Each state was represented which had at least one K-12 or $1-12$ district of $301-900$ students. Six states did not report an operating $\mathrm{K}-12$ or $1-12$ district of $301-900$ students (Delaware, ĒLorida, Louisiana, Maryland, Rhode Island, and West Virginia). For these, states, cheir smallest $K-12 / 1-12$ district was selected. Other than the smallest district from each of these six states, and those states which had only one qualifying district, each school district in the study population was assigned a different number and those selected were chosen by referring to a table of random numbers. Neither Hawaii nor Montana reported operating K-i 2 or $\mathrm{l}=\overline{1} \overline{2}$ distrifts of any size. These two states were not included in the sampling. The 508 districts selected for this sample represented 18.7 percent of the study population.
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## INSTRUMENT

A sèf=adminis̄tērēd quēstionnāirē, designed by the researchers and national leadéns of thé Rurāl Education Association, was mailed to school superintendents in éach of the two samplēs." Completed questionnaires were:
returned from 244 districts in the stratified random sample; representing a 79:2 pércēnt return (see Table l) and 398 districts in the simple, random samplé, rep̄résēnting a $7 \overline{8} .3$ percent return (see Table 2). The questionnāire
 teachers, school programs, añ studeñ pérformance.
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## FINDINGS

The 1,414 districts of 300 students or tess represented 9.1 percent of the 15,601 public school districts in the United states and enrolled a total of 263.724 pupils or .65 percent of thé totai U.S. pubitc school, student body. The 2,711 districts of $301-900$ students accounted for 17.4 percent of the operating total and enrolled $1,587,203$ pupils or 3.9 percent of the total student body (Barker, 1983).

The major findings of this study are reportéd in table 3 , which shows a comparison of research findings between the two samples?

In addition to the comparative findings in, Table 3 ; superintendents in both sampies reported that the number one challenge they faced was that of securing adequate school finances; followed by the reed to improve the school curriculum. Superintendents in the smaller districts reported that securing tēachers was the third ranked problem. Those in the larger districts reported the third ranked problem to be that of providing meaningful inservice instruction. Findings from both samples revealed that the difficulty of locating quāified teảchers in the maths, and sciences was the most sígnificant staff rēcruitment problem.

With rēēerence to problems involving, students; superintendents in each sample cited lack off-motivation ānd lack of educational goals and dikecion as more sericus problems for thēír students than either drugs; vandalism; sex; alcoholism, or chēating in schooi.

Superintendents in the smaller districts indicated that the most widely used practice for expanding learning opportunities for their students was the cooperative sharing of personnel and/or equipment with a neighboring district(s). The use of regional vocation and education service centers wás most frequentiy cited by the larger districts. Other resources cited included traveing teacher(s), computer-assisted instruction, television, video taped instruction, and conrrespondence courses.

CONCLUSION
thís study attemptē to gather comparative data between $\mathrm{K}-12 / 1-12$ districts' óf 300 students ō léss and those between $301-900$ students. The major differences noted were: (i) the sāáry leveis páa to superiñtendents; teachers, and principais were higher in the larger districts; (2) the smaller districts reported a higher percent receiving state aid or funding for smain schools' (3) for districts ō 300 students or iess, the average doilar amount
 districts; (4) fewer education support services andor speciáístés are available in the districts of 300 students or less; (5) the teacherlstudent ratio: is lower in the smaller districts, vet a higher percentage of secondary teachers in these districts are teaching outside their area(s) of : certification and these teachers typically have four different subject preparations each day compared to three for teachers in the larger districts; (6) student performance on the ACT Exam was significantly bigher in the smaller districts; (7) students in the smaller districts have less access to regional vocation and education service centers; (8) fewer extra-curricular ( sports are provided in the smaller districts and (9) curricular offerings in P. the smaller districts are more limited.
: During thē time thāt this ștudy-was.underway; support and interest was

```
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```

?
-provided by the national president of the Rural Education Association (REA) and other national of ficers of that organization. Some 26 members ōf $\bar{f} \overline{\mathrm{f}} \overline{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{e}$ REA, in as many states, personally contacted superintendents selected fōr the two samples in their state and encouraged them to fill out the questionnaire and return it to the researchers. Such assistance was definitely helpful in securing an almost 80 percent nationwide response from a large sample on a lengthly questionnaire. It is also indicative of the concern and interest which rural educators have in this country to share information about rural schoons and to provide the best education possible for rural students.

Many rural educators; from across thé nation, hāve written and expressed interest in the research results of this study. This concern has confirmed with the researchers the value and strength of our country's rural educapors and our rurai $\overline{\bar{s}} \bar{c} \bar{f}$ ools : Without question, one of Amērica's greatest resources is her rurai schools and those professionals who are teaching and training our rural youth.


NUMBER OF OPRRATING K-12 AND 1-1? PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICTS; LISTED BY STATE; ENROLIING 300 STUDENTS OR LESS: PERCENT OF REPRESENTATION WITHIN EACH STATE FOR TOTAL STuII P POPULATION (1,414); SIZE OF SAMPLE SELECTED IN EACHY STATE; NUMBER OF guestionnalres returned from each state; and percent returned.


TABLE (continued)


TABEE 2
NUMBER OF ORERATING K-I2 AND 1 - 12 PUBEIC SCHOOL DISTRICTS ENROLLING 301-900 STUDENTS, LISTED BY STATE; SIZE OF SAMPLE SELECTED IN EACH STATE; NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES RETURNED; AND PERCENT RETURNED:

| State | Districts | Sampie | Number <br> Returned | Pct. <br> Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 1 | t | 1 | 100 |
| Alasica | 20 | 5 | 3 | 60 |
| Arizona | 16 | 4. | 4 | 100 |
| Arkansas | 166 | 25 | 22 | 88 |
| Colifornia | 25. | 5 | 4 | 80 |
| Colorado. | 45 | 6 | 6 | 100 |
| Connecticut | - | + | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| Délawarex. | 0 | 1 | + | 100 |
| Florida* | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Georgia | 8 | 4 | 3 | 75 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
| Idaho | -37. | - 9 | 7 | 100 |
| Illinois | 200. | 32 | 27 | 84 |
| Indiana | 26 | 6 | 6 | 100 |
| Iowa | 247 | 32 | 29 | 91 |
| Kansas | 153 | 24 | 23 | 92 |
| Kentucky | 21 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| Louisiana* | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Maine | 21 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| Maryland* | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Massachusetts | 6 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Michigan | - 91 | 12 | 9 | 75 |
| Minnesota | 191 | 26 | 20 | 77 |
| Mississippi | 8 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Missouri. | 190 | 43 | 28 | 65 |
| Montana | 0 | 0 | -- | - |
| Nebraska | 99 | 18 | 16 | 89 |
| Nevada | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| New Hampshirē | 20 | 5 | 3 | 60 |
| New Jersey | 1 | - 1 | 0 | 0 |
| New Mexico | 24 | $\therefore 6$ | 4 | 67 |
| New York | 129 | - 26 | 18 | 69 |
| North' Carolina | 2 | $\therefore 1$ | 1 | $10 \theta$ |
| North Dakota | 75 | 9 | 6 | 67 |
| Ohio | 65 | 7. | 5 | 71 |
| Oklahoma | 110 | ¢ 4 | " 28 | 64 |
| Oregon | 33 | - 6 | 6 | 100 |
| Pennsylvania | 17 | 4 | 3 | 75 |
| Rhode Is lard* | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| South Carolina | - 3 | - 2 | 1 |  |
| South Dakota, | 72 | -12 | 7 | 58 |
| Tennessee | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 2 （continued）

| State | Districts | Sample ${ }^{-2}$ | Number Returned | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct. } \\ & \text { Return } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Texas | 328 | 61 | ${ }_{4} 4$ | 70 |
| Utah | 7 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Vermont | 17 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
|  | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Washíngton | 63 | 1.6 | 15 | 94 |
| West Virginniax | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| 立sconsín | 135 | 24 | 21 | 88 |
| Wyoning | 17 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| 何可立家 | 2,711 | 508 | 398 | 78.3 |

 which entoliéd $301-9 \theta \theta$ students or less．The smallest K－12 or l－ỉ district in each was seiected for inclusion in the sample．
table 3
A COMPARISON OF RESEARCH FINDINGS BETWEEN $K-12 / 1-12$ PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICTS ENROLLING 300 STUDENTS OR LESS AND THOSE ENROLLING 301-900 STUDENTS; 1983.

| Variable | 300 or Less | 301 to 900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thē Rưā̄1 District |  |  |
| 1. Average number of schools per district | 2.0 | 2.6 |
| 2. Average student enrolment per school | 94.6 | 225.9 |
| 3. Average student enroilment per district | 198.0 | 583.1 |
| 4. Perceent of students bussed to school | 66.6 | 63.5 |
| 5: Mean farthest round distance (miles) students bussed to school | 38.8 | 37.4 |
| 6. Percent of districts rēporting s̄tāte funding or aid for small districts | 30.9 | 20.i |
| 7. Percent of districts reporting passage of last bonding | 91.3 | 85.3 |
| $\overline{8}$. Average amount of most recent bonding | \$403,715 | \$886,100 |
| 9. Percent of districts indicating enrollment trend decrease | 38.0 | 35.1 |
| The Superintendent |  |  |
| 1. Pércent of superintendents holding māēēr's ās highest degree | 62.1 | 50.3 |
| 2. Pércent of superintendents holding Ed. Speciaíst ās highiēst degree | 26.7 | 34.4 |
| 3. Percent of superintendents holding doctorate | 9.8 | 15.1 |
| 4. Pércent of superintendents reporting annual saiaries in excess of $\$ 35,000$ | 19.8 | 48.2 |
| 5. Average tenure of superintendent (years) | 5.5 | 6.9 |
| 6. Pērent of superintendents reporting average work week in excess of 51 hours | 53.2 | 54.8 |
| 7. Average age of superintendent (years) | 46.6 | 47.4 |
| The Teachers |  |  |
| 1. Avērage number of full-time elementary teachers in district | 7.2* | 18.7 |
| 2. Average number of full-time secondary teachers in district | 9.5 | 19.5 |
| 3. Average tēacher $\overline{\text { jostudent ratio }}$ | 1:19.8 | 1:15:3 |
| 4. Mean teacher beginning annual salary | \$12,256 | \$12,653 |
| 5. Mean tēachēr top ānnuāl salary | \$19;263 | \$21,260 |

6. Current average ānnuāl sālāry for teachers
7. Average beginning salary éementary principal

8: Average beginning salary sécondāry principal
10. Average number of different subject prēpāātions f̄ō secondary teachers
11. Percent of secondary teachers teaching one or more classes outside their subject(s) area of certification
12. Pērcent ōf teacher turnover for 1981-82:

## Studeñ Pērfotmance

1. Mean number of graduating seniors pēr district
2. Percent of districts reporting student-performance on last national test of achievement administered in district as éither "close to" or "above" the national average
3. Percent of graduating seniors (1981-82) recognized as National Merit Exam finaíists.
4. Percent of graduating seniors (1981-82) scoring $25+$ on American Collegè Tēst (ACT exam)
5. Percent of graduating seniors (1981-82) scoring 1100+ on Scholastic Aptítude tést (SAT exam)
6. Cumulative: Percent graduating seniors recognized as National Merit Exam finalistses or scoring $25+$ on $A C T$ Exam or $1100{ }^{+}$on SAT Exam.
7. Percent of graduating seniors ( $1981 \mathrm{i}-\overline{8} 2$ ) planring on attending college
8. Pērcent of graduating séniors (1981-82) attending technical school

## Schooi Programs

1. Pērcent of districts employing speciáa education personnel
2. Percent of districts having a school counselor
3. Pērcent of districts having a school psychoiogist ,
4. Percent ṓf districts having vocationā education director
5. Percent of disticts having a school nurse
6. Perceñ of districts having a school librārian
7. Percent of districts having adułt education director
8. Pērcent of districts having community education director
\$21,844
\$24,045
15.0

| $\$ 15,502$ | $\$ 16,905$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 18,252$ | $\$ 21,844$ |
| $\$ 19,864$. | $\$ 24,045$ |
| 13.6 | 15.0 |

86.7
86.3
37.7

26:2
50.6
86.5
9.1
10.4

TABLE 3 (continued)
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